	Case 1:20-cv-00999-DAD-EPG Docume	ent 11	Filed 03/08/21	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	KYLE PETERSEN,	No.	1:20-cv-00999-D	AD-EPG (PS)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	ORI	DER DENYING N	MOTION FOR COPY OF
14	MEKIASH BUYARD,		IL COMPLAINT	
15	Defendant.	(EC	F No. 10)	
16	On March 4, 2021, Plaintiff Kyle Petersen ("Plaintiff"), a federal prisoner proceeding <i>pro</i>			
17	se and in forma pauperis, filed a motion requesting a copy of his complaint. (ECF No. 10).			
18	Plaintiff's motion states that he intends to file a motion in this action and needs a copy of the			
19	complaint to do so. He further states that his legal documents were lost when he was transferred			
20	to his current institution of confinement. For the reasons below, the Court denies Plaintiff's			
21	motion.			
22	As the Court has explained in connection with other cases pending in this court, the Court			
23	does not typically make copies for litigants for free:			
24	Plaintiff is advised that the Clerk of Court does not ordinarily provide free copies			
2526	of case documents to parties. The Clerk charges \$.50 per page for copies of documents. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). Copies of up to twenty pages may be made			
27	by the Clerk's Office at this Court upon written request and prepayment of the copy fees.			
28	Petersen v. Buyard, No. 1:20-cv-884-DAD-EPG (PS), ECF No. 6.			
		1		

Case 1:20-cv-00999-DAD-EPG Document 11 Filed 03/08/21 Page 2 of 2

Although the Court has twice before granted Plaintiff copies of documents in other cases, each time it informed him that it was a "one-time exception" and that "In the future, Plaintiff must retain a copy of all documents submitted to the Court. The Court will not look favorably on future requests for free copies of filed documents." Id. at 2. See also Petersen v. Buyard, No. 1:20-cv-00954-DAD-EPG, ECF No. 6 at 2 (substantially the same). Here, the Court will not make another exception to provide Plaintiff with free copies of documents in this case. This action is stayed until the Ninth Circuit rules on Plaintiff's pending criminal appeal. (ECF No. 9). That appeal is still pending. See United States v. Peterson, No. 19-10246 (9th Cir.). Therefore, no filings are due or will be considered in this case until the stay is lifted. If Plaintiff still has not received his legal property when the stay in this case is lifted, he may file another motion. He should explain what he has done to obtain his legal property and the response of the institution, with any document showing his attempt to obtain his legal property. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for a Copy of Civil Complaint (ECF No. 10) is DENIED without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **March 8, 2021**